

Northamptonshire Pension Fund Risk Register

Risk	Risk	Relevant objectives	Responsible Lead(s)*	Risk Rating
1	Employers unable to pay increased contribution rates.	9	E	12
2	Failure to respond to changes in economic conditions.	15,16	I	12
3	As long term investors, the Fund believes climate risk has the potential to significantly alter the value of the Fund's investments	18,19	I	9
4	Contributions to the Fund are not received on the correct date and/or for the correct amount	1,8,9,16	F	8
5	Fund assets are not sufficient to meet obligations and liabilities.	2,16,17,19	I	8
6	Information may not be provided to stakeholders as required.	14	ALL	6
7	The Investment Strategy's Risk Reward profile does not match the requirements of the Fund.	16, 17,18	I	6
8	Those charged with governance are unable to fulfil their responsibilities effectively.	2,3	G	6
9	Risk of fraud and error.	2,10	ALL	6
10	Failure to understand and monitor risk compliance.	5	G	6
11	Lack of understanding of employer responsibilities which could result in statutory and non-statutory deadlines being missed.	8	E	6
12	Custody arrangements may not be sufficient to safeguard Pension Fund assets.	1,2,3	I	4
13	Pension Fund systems and data may not be secure and appropriately maintained – including cyber risk.	10,11	F	4
14	Failure to administer the scheme in line with regulations and guidance.	1,2,3,16	ALL	4
15	Failure to recognise and manage conflicts of interest.	2,10	G	4
16	Pension Fund objectives are not defined and agreed.	4	G	4
17	Failure to provide relevant information to the Pension Committee/Pension Board to enable informed decision making.	15	G	4
18	Pension Fund investments may not be accurately valued.	2,10,17,18	F	4
19	Actual experience materially differs from actuarial assumptions used at each valuation	9, 17,18	E	4
20	Failure to act appropriately upon expert advice and/or risk of poor advice.	17,18,19,20	ALL	4
21	Failure to assess and monitor the financial strength of an employer covenant to ensure employer liabilities are met.	9,17,18	E	4
22	Unable to deliver pension services due to an inadequate business continuity plan.	8	ALL	4
23	Unable to deliver pension services due to inadequate recruitment and retention processes.	8	ALL	4
24	Investment decisions and portfolio management may not achieve the return required or be performed in accordance with instructions provided.	1,2,3,19	I	3
25	Incorrect production of accounts, notices, publications and management reports leading to possible financial and reputational damage.	1,2,10	ALL	3

26	Incorrect/poor quality data held on the Pension Administration and Payroll platforms leading to incorrect information being provided to members and stakeholders.	2,8,10,11	G	3
----	---	-----------	----------	----------

*Key

E	Employer Services and Systems Manager
F	Fund Accounting Manager
G	Governance and Regulations Manager
I	Investment Manager
O	Operations Manager
ALL	All Manager Responsibility

Overall responsibility rests with the Head of Pensions

Potential impact if risk occurred	5 Catastrophic	5	10	15	20
	4 Major	4	8	12	16
	3 Moderate	3	6	9	12
	2 Minor	2	4	6	8
	1 Insignificant	1	2	3	4
		1 Rare (5%)	2 Unlikely (15%)	3 Possible (40%)	4 Likely (65%)
		Likelihood of risk occurring			

Risk No	Risk	Gross Impact	Gross Likelihood	Gross Total	R A G	Controls	Residual Impact	Residual Likelihood	Residual Total	R A G
1	Employers unable to pay increased contribution rates.	4	4	16	R	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provisional contribution rates are consulted on with each scheme employer as part of the valuation process Review of employer covenant, looking at the terms of the admission agreement and bond/guarantor arrangements. Negotiate terms of deficit recovery whilst keeping employer contribution rates as stable and affordable as possible. 	4	3	12	A
2	Failure to respond to changes in economic conditions	4	4	16	R	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Fund has established a quarterly Investment Sub Committee dedicated to focus on Investment matters. The Fund receives quarterly performance reports which consider operational and strategic investment issues. A formal review of the strategic asset allocation is undertaken on a triennial basis. The Fund publishes an Investment Strategy Statement which is regularly reviewed. The Fund has currency hedging arrangements in place. Investment decisions can be delegated to the Head of Pensions in consultation with the Chairman. Coronavirus pandemic: Increased engagement with investment managers and monitoring of asset movements. 	4	3	12	A
3.	As long-term investors, the Fund believes climate risk has the potential to significantly alter the value of the Fund's investments.	4	4	16	R	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investment managers are required to take account of both financial and non-financial factors in their investment decisions. Managers are challenged on their engagement activities in connection with environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues including climate risk Managers are required to report regularly on their compliance with our ESG policy It is ensured that the ACCESS asset pool meets the Fund's ESG requirements 	3	3	9	A

Risk No	Risk	Gross Impact	Gross Likelihood	Gross Total	R A G	Controls	Residual Impact	Residual Likelihood	Residual Total	R A G
4.	Contributions to the Fund are not received on the correct date and/or for the correct amount.	4	3	12	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employer contributions are set as stable as possible and the Fund works with employers closely to ensure pragmatic solutions if an employer is unable to meet monthly contributions. • A procedure is in place to identify non-payment and late payment of contributions as defined in the Employee and Employer Late Payment Policy. • The Policy includes a reporting process to report late payments to Committee and the Pensions Regulator • Internal Audit reviews take place on an annual basis and external audit review the accounts annually. 	4	2	8	A
5.	Fund assets are not sufficient to meet obligations and liabilities	4	3	12	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Funding Strategy Statement is reviewed every 3 years. • The Fund Actuary considers asset valuations and the Fund Investment Strategy in setting employer contributions rates. • The yearend financial statements record the Funds asset position and is subject to robustly reviewed by external audit, which supports the Funds asset valuation applied to assess fund adequacy. • The Fund has currency hedging arrangements in place. 	4	2	8	A
6.	Information may not be provided to stakeholders as required	3	3	9	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Officers keep up to date with disclosure regulations and distribute knowledge to teams accordingly using resources such as relevant websites, seminars, professional bodies and working groups. • Letters are generated through task management for consistency and are checked before being sent out. • Communications Officer now in place. • Membership of the Local Government Association (LGA) Communications Working Group. • Membership of the Regional Joint Communications Group. • Communication and Digital Communication Strategy in place 	3	2	6	G

Risk No	Risk	Gross Impact	Gross Likelihood	Gross Total	R A G	Controls	Residual Impact	Residual Likelihood	Residual Total	R A G
7.	The Investment Strategy's risk reward profile does not match the requirements of the Fund.	3	3	9	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investment Strategy in place which is in accordance with LGPS investment regulations. A formal review of the strategic asset allocation is undertaken on a triennial basis. The Fund appoints professional investment advisers to support the Pension Committees investment decisions At each triennial actuarial valuation the Funding Strategy Statement considers alignment of the investment strategy to employer covenant and affordability. Members are encouraged to participate in Skills & Knowledge training with respect to Investments and attend relevant industry conferences. Detailed training records are maintained. 	3	2	6	G
8.	Those charged with governance are unable to fulfil their responsibilities effectively	3	3	9	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Training Strategy in place to facilitate the continual development of both Committee and Board members. New members are provided with relevant documentation to assist them in their roles. The Fund subscribes to relevant professional bodies such as Local Authority Pension Fund Forum (LAPFF) and Pension and Lifetime Savings Association (PLSA). 	3	2	6	G
9.	Risk of fraud and error	3	3	12	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Anti- Fraud and Corruption policy in place. Fund participates in the National Fraud Initiative and undertakes oversees pensioner existence checks. Robust processes in place including segregation of duties and authorisation protocols. 	3	2	6	G
10.	Failure to understand and monitor risk compliance	3	2	6	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Business Continuity plan in place and is updated at least annually. Active risk register in place, the Committee and Board are updated if there are any risk movements between scheduled reporting timescales. The Local Pension Board have oversight of risk monitoring to assist the Pensions Committee on decision making. 	3	2	6	G

Risk No	Risk	Gross Impact	Gross Likelihood	Gross Total	R A G	Controls	Residual Impact	Residual Likelihood	Residual Total	R A G
11.	Lack of understanding of employer responsibilities which could result in statutory and non-statutory deadlines being missed.	3	4	12	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employers are made aware of their responsibilities upon admission via the LGSS website and direct employer communication. Training is provided to employers on a minimum quarterly basis and more often, if required. The importance of a statutory deadlines is stressed to the employer through all communications and via events such as the employer forums. Support is also available through the website, dedicated employers help line and templates issued where applicable. 	2	3	6	G
12.	Custody arrangements may not be sufficient to safeguard Pension Fund assets.	4	2	8	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Custodian is selected from experienced providers on the LGPS National Framework who have met the quality criteria for the framework. Complete and authorised agreements are in place with external custodian. External custodian's compliance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) No. 3402, Assurance Reports on Controls at a Service Organisation. Officers of the Fund engage in quarterly monitoring of custodian performance with a report presented at the annual meeting of the Pensions Committee. 	4	1	4	G
13.	Pension Fund systems and data may not be secure and appropriately maintained – including cyber risk.	4	2	8	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> System user controls are in place including regular password changes. Access rights are controlled. Data is backed up. Audit trails are in place. Pension system is protected against viruses and other system threats. The pensions administration system is updated to ensure Local Government Pension Scheme requirements are met. Hosted pensions server and backup server are at separate Bedfordshire sites. Disaster recovery plans are in place for both Heywood and LGSS. Compulsory online training for LGSS Officers on Cyber resilience and Data Protection. 	4	1	4	G

Risk No	Risk	Gross Impact	Gross Likelihood	Gross Total	RAG	Controls	Residual Impact	Residual Likelihood	Residual Total	RAG
14.	Failure to administer the scheme in line with regulations and guidance	5	2	10	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policies and strategies are in place and are accessible on the Fund website. • Policies and strategies are subject to review at appropriate intervals and subject to stakeholder consultation where necessary. • A Training Strategy is in place for those charged with governance. • Officers attend working groups (such as EMPOG/SEC SOG – East Midlands Pension Officer Group / South Eastern Counties Superannuation Group) and consult with professional advisors where appropriate. • Employers are aware of their responsibilities within the Fund and what information is required, in what format and by when. • The Fund subscribes to relevant professional bodies such as LAPFF and PALSA. 	4	1	4	G
15.	Failure to recognise and manage conflicts of interest	4	2	8	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Declaration of interests are made at the beginning of all statutory meetings where not held on the County Councillor declaration register. • Conflicts of Interest Policy in place for the Local Pension Board. • Committee and Board members are encouraged to undertake the Pension Regulators Toolkit which includes a conflicts of interest module. 	2	2	4	G
16.	Pension Fund objectives are not defined and agreed	4	2	8	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objectives are agreed as part of the Annual Business Plan and Medium Term Strategy by the Pensions Committee. • Relevant objectives are referenced on every committee report. • Objectives are referenced in all policy documents and the risk register to ensure appropriate focus. 	2	2	4	G

Risk No	Risk	Gross Impact	Gross Likelihood	Gross Total	R A G	Controls	Residual Impact	Residual Likelihood	Residual Total	R A G
17.	Failure to provide relevant information to the Pension Committee/Pension Board to enable informed decision making.	3	2	6	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Committee and Board papers are provided for each scheduled meeting, providing relevant information to inform decision making. Papers are subject to appropriate approvals including that of the Monitoring Officer and Section 151 Officer Yearly effectiveness reviews for Committee and Board members are carried out to identify if any changes need to be made to the information delivered. 	2	2	4	G
18.	Pension Fund Investments may not be accurately valued	3	2	6	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Fund employs a custodian to independently review the fund asset values applied by Fund Managers and these valuations are applied in the year-end financial statements. The year-end financial statements record the Funds asset position and is subject to robust review by external audit. Officers work closely with the Funds Custodian to ensure accuracy of asset valuations. 	2	2	4	G
19.	Actual experience materially differs from actuarial assumptions used at each valuation.	3	3	9	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assumptions and actual experience are analysed through triennial valuations to ensure assumptions remain appropriate. Early engagement with employers. The Investment Sub Committee receives quarterly performance reports provided by recognised industry professionals which considers both strategic and operational aspects of investment. Officers are in partnership with Fund advisers report asset allocation performance quarterly to the Investment Sub Committee. 	2	2	4	G
20.	Failure to act appropriately upon expert advice and/or risk of poor advice	4	2	8	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pension Committee decisions and oversight by the Local Pension Board. Investment consultants and independent advisors appointed via a robust appointment process. Members are encouraged to participate in Skills & Knowledge training with respect to Investments and attend relevant industry conferences. Detailed training records are maintained. 	2	2	4	G

Risk No	Risk	Gross Impact	Gross Likelihood	Gross Total	R A G	Controls	Residual Impact	Residual Likelihood	Residual Total	R A G
21.	Failure to assess and monitor the financial strength of an employer covenant to ensure employer liabilities are met.	3	3	9	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assessment of the strength of individual employer covenants in conjunction with the actuary and what bond/guarantor arrangements are in place Close liaison with Employers in managing exit strategy in line with the Admitted bodies, Scheme employers and Transfer Policy. Ensure individual employers are monitored closely to preempt when they are likely to cease and put in arrangements to fund cessation on an appropriate basis. 	2	2	4	G
22.	Unable to deliver pension services due to an inadequate business continuity plan	3	2	6	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Business continuity plan in place which includes the ability for staff to work remotely to meet the demands of the service. Multi skilling across the service for flexibility. Updated at least annually to ensure remains relevant and up to date. Part of the LGSS business continuity plan. 	2	2	4	G
23.	Unable to deliver pension services due to inadequate recruitment and retention processes.	3	2	6	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establishment reporting undertaken monthly to identify any recruitment/retention issues Recruitment undertaken utilising all available avenues including agency staff Staff leaving interviewed to understand reason for cessation Regular performance reporting across all business processes serves as early warning system Consultancy contracts in place as a backstop 	2	2	4	G

Risk No	Risk	Gross Impact	Gross Likelihood	Gross Total	R A G	Controls	Residual Impact	Residual Likelihood	Residual Total	R A G
24.	Investment decisions and portfolio management may not maximise returns or be performed in accordance with instructions provided	3	2	6	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Fund is compliant with Investment regulations and best practice guidance. The Fund appoints professional investment advisers to support the Pension Committees investment decisions The Funds asset allocation is considered by the Actuary when undertaking the triennial valuation. Investment performance is closely monitored, in particular the Investment Sub Committee receives quarterly performance reports provided by recognised industry professionals highlighting key issues. The Fund publishes and regularly reviews its Investment Strategy Statement, which references in particular Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) issues. 	3	1	3	G
25.	Incorrect production of accounts, notices, publications and management reports leading to possible financial and reputational damage.	3	2	6	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Automated extraction of data where viable and agreed procedures for reporting. Robust authorisation protocols in place. Internal and External audit reviews. Contributions are reconciled against employer monthly reports and the bank account, which is subject to both internal and external audit review as part of the year end process. Membership year end reconciliation and investigate variations from the accounting valuations. Management and administration are maintained in accordance with the SORP and the Financial Regulations. Data Improvement Policy and Plan are in place. Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy in place. 	3	1	3	G
26.	Incorrect/poor quality data held on the Pension Administration and Payroll platforms leading to incorrect information being provided to members and stakeholders.	3	3	9	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Data Improvement Policy and Plan are in place. The Data Improvement Policy and Plan are reviewed at least annually and material amendments approved by the Pensions Committee. The Local Pension Board have oversight of policy reviews. The Pension Committee and Local Pension Board receive updates against the plan quarterly. 	3	1	3	G

Criteria for assessing impact and likelihood

Impact

Description	Risk Appetite
Catastrophic (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unacceptable level of risk exposure which requires immediate action to be taken. • >£10m. • Section 151 or government intervention or criminal charges. • Critical long term disruption to service delivery. • Significant and sustained local opposition to policies and/or sustained negative media reporting in national media.
Major (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unacceptable level of risk exposure which requires regular active monitoring (at least quarterly) and measures put in place to reduce exposure. • <£10m. • Major civil litigation setting precedent and/or national public enquiry. • Major disruption to service delivery. • Sustained negative coverage in local media or negative reporting in the national media.
Moderate (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acceptable level of risk exposure subject to regular active monitoring measures, at least quarterly. • >£5m. • Major civil litigation and/or public enquiry. • Moderate direct effect on service delivery. • Significant negative front page reports/editorial comment in the local media.
Minor (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acceptable level of risk exposure subject to regular passive monitoring measures, at least half yearly. • >£1m. • Minor regulatory enforcement. • Minor disruption to service delivery. • Minimal negative local media reporting.
Insignificant (1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acceptable level of risk exposure subject to periodic passive monitoring measures, at least annually. • >£0.5m. • Minor civil litigation or regulatory criticism. • Insignificant disruption to service delivery. • No reputational impact

Likelihood

Description	% risk of happening	Or	Potential timescale
Rare (1)	5		Once in 20 or more years
Unlikely (2)	15		Once in 10 to less than 20 years
Possible (3)	40		Once in 3 to less than 10 years
Likely (4)	65		Once in 1 to less than 3 years
Almost certain (5)	80		At least once in a year